Form MC-002 (Optional Form)

Code of Civil Procedure Section 205(c)–(d)

Sec. 1. Statutory Authority

This Juror Questionnaire has been drafted under the authority of Code of Civil Procedure section 205(c)–(d) and is intended to expedite jury selection. It is not intended to alter statutes or rules governing the authority of the court or the role of counsel during voir dire.

Sec. 2. Use Notes for Courts

A. General

This Juror Questionnaire is an **optional form** and is **NOT** intended to constitute the complete examination of prospective jurors. The utility and appropriateness of this questionnaire to a particular case is at the discretion of the judge. Particular kinds of cases may require that this questionnaire be altered or augmented with the participation of counsel.

B. Pre-Voir Dire Conference

Rule of Court 4.200 requires that the court confer with counsel about voir dire before a jury panel is called. At this conference, the court may establish (1) guidelines for the use of the Juror Questionnaire, (2) any supplemental questions to be propounded to the panel by questionnaire, (3) the extent of the court's oral inquiry of the panel, and (4) the extent of oral questioning by counsel. Arrangements for duplication of completed questionnaires should be confirmed.

C. Introduction of Questionnaire to Prospective Jurors

It is suggested that the Juror Questionnaire be used after the court has given its customary introductory remarks and any additional instructions that the court deems appropriate. The court also may wish to tell the panel members that a questionnaire will be used, to encourage complete answers, and to remind them that their answers will be given under penalty of perjury. In introducing the questionnaire, the court should instruct prospective jurors how to proceed if they have difficulty reading or filling out the form.

It is not recommended that the court direct the jury commissioner to give the Juror Questionnaire to prospective jurors in the jury assembly room. This procedure ordinarily will mean that jurors are not given complete instructions about the type of case they will hear or the identity of participants and witnesses. In addition, jurors who fill out the form before appearing in the trial court may not clearly understand that their answers are given under penalty of perjury. For these reasons, and to avoid the need to have jurors fill out supplemental questionnaires once they have been sent to the trial court, it is strongly recommended that the Juror Questionnaire be used in the trial court setting.

Introduction and Instructions

Thank you for coming to court as a potential juror. Before the case can start, a jury must be selected. The judge and the parties need to know information about you and people you know in order to select jurors who can be fair to both sides.

Everyone has attitudes and opinions that are shaped by their life experiences. Sometimes these experiences can make it difficult to look at a certain issue in an unbiased and unemotional way. As a juror, you must return a verdict based on the law and on the facts proved in court. The judge will give you instructions on the law and on how you should go about deciding the case. You must listen to and follow the judge's instructions.

The questions on this form are designed to help the court and the lawyers learn something about your background and your views on issues that may be related to this case. The questions are asked not to invade your privacy, but to make sure that you can be a fair and impartial juror.

In portions of this form, you will see the term "person with whom you share a significant personal relationship." That term means a former spouse, domestic partner, life partner, or anyone with whom you have an influential or intimate relationship that you would characterize as important.

As you answer the questions that follow, please keep in mind that there are no "right" or "wrong" answers. The only right answer is one that reflects how you honestly feel. Please make sure your answers are as complete as possible. Complete answers are far more helpful and may help shorten the time it takes to select a jury. If you have trouble reading, understanding, or filling out this form, please let the court staff know. If a question does not apply to you please write in "N/A" for "not applicable" rather than leave the question blank.

The information you provide will become part of the court record in this case and will be a public document that is accessible to anyone. Some of the questions may require information that is personal and sensitive to you, and you may be reluctant to talk about this information with the other prospective jurors and the public present. If this is so, write "private" next to the question and the court **may** then give you an opportunity to share your information on the record with only the judge, counsel, the defendant, and the court reporter present. The answers you provide will, under most circumstances, be included as part of the public record but you may not have to share the information in open court.

PLEASE PUT THE LAST FOUR DIGITS OF YOUR JUROR IDENTIFICATION NUMBER FOUND ON YOUR JUROR BADGE ON THE TOP OF EACH PAGE.

REMEMBER THAT YOU ARE ANSWERING THESE QUESTIONS UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY. YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE TRUE AND COMPLETE. THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP IN SELECTING A FAIR JURY.

Juror ID number ₋	
Case number	

General Questions

PLEASE PRINT ALL ANSWERS LEGIBLY

1.1	AGE:
1.2	THIS (THESE) CRIME(S) ALLEGEDLY TOOK PLACE
	INSERT LOCATION OF CRIME(S)
	DO YOU RESIDE IN THE VICINITY OF THIS LOCATION OR DO YOU FREQUENT THIS LOCATION?
	YES NO
	IF YES, PLEASE EXPLAIN:
1.3	DESCRIBE ANY DIFFICULTIES (VISION, HEARING, OR MEDICAL PROBLEMS) THAT MAY AFFECT YOUR JURY SERVICE:
1.4	IF YOU HAVE ANY ETHICAL, RELIGIOUS, POLITICAL, OR OTHER BELIEFS THAT MAY PREVENT YOU FROM SERVING AS A JUROR, EXPLAIN:

					Case number
WH	ΙΑΤ	IS THE HIGHEST LEV	EL OF EDU(CATION	YOU COMPLETED?
]	GRADE SCHOOL OR L	ESS		SOME COLLEGE
					(MAJOR):
	J	SOME HIGH SCHOOL			COLLEGE GRADUATE
					(MAJOR):
	٦	HIGH SCHOOL GRADU	JATE		POSTGRADUATE STUDY
	_				(MAJOR):
	٦	OTHER (PLEASE EXP	LAIN):		TECHNICAL, VOCATIONAL, OR BUSINESS SCHOOL
	_		,		(MAJOR):
				_	(
				_	
.E.\	,	LOUAN TO ATTEND OF	D 4DE 0UD	DENTI V	ATTEMPING COLLEGE DESCRIPE
IF Y	'OU	J PLAN TO ATTEND OI	R ARE CURI	RENTLY	ATTENDING SCHOOL, DESCRIBE:
					HOM YOU HAVE A SIGNIFICANT PERSONAL
		TED SUBJECT, DESCR		IAKEN	ANY COURSES OR HAD ANY TRAINING IN LAW OR A
		,			
					ADULT WHO LIVES IN YOUR HOME, INCLUDING ANY
DEG	GRI	EES OR CERTIFICATE	S EARNED:		
YOL	UR	PRESENT EMPLOYME	ENT STATU	S (CHEC	CK ALL THAT APPLY):
YOU	_	PRESENT EMPLOYME			
YOU		EMPLOYED FULL-TIM	E	RETIRE	UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK
YOU]		E		UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK
YOU]	EMPLOYED FULL-TIME	E	RETIRE	UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK
		EMPLOYED FULL-TIMI EMPLOYED PART-TIM HOMEMAKER	E	RETIRE STUDE	UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK
		EMPLOYED FULL-TIMI EMPLOYED PART-TIM HOMEMAKER	E	RETIRE STUDE	ED UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK NT UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK
		EMPLOYED FULL-TIMI EMPLOYED PART-TIM HOMEMAKER	E	RETIRE STUDE	ED UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK NT UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK
		EMPLOYED FULL-TIMI EMPLOYED PART-TIM HOMEMAKER	E	RETIRE STUDE	ED UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK NT UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK

Juror ID number
Case number
NAME OF YOUR CURRENT OR MOST RECENT EMPLOYER OR, IF A STUDENT, YOUR SCHOOL:
WHAT ARE YOUR SPECIFIC JOB DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES?
DOES YOUR JOB INVOLVE SUPERVISING OTHER PEOPLE? IF YES, APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY?
ARE YOU INVOLVED IN THE HIRING AND FIRING OF OTHER EMPLOYEES? YES NO
ARE YOU INVOLVED IN EVALUATING THE JOB PERFORMANCE OF OTHER EMPLOYEES? YES NO
ALL OTHER EMPLOYMENT YOU HAVE HAD IN THE PAST 10 YEARS (AND FOR HOW LONG):
THE PRESENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS OF YOUR SPOUSE OR ANY PERSON WITH WHOM YOU HAVE A SIGNIFICANT PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
EMPLOYED FULL-TIME RETIRED UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK EMPLOYED PART-TIME STUDENT UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WOR HOMEMAKER
THE CURRENT OR MOST RECENT OCCUPATION OF YOUR SPOUSE OR ANY PERSON WITH WHOM Y HAVE A SIGNIFICANT PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP (AND FOR HOW LONG):
THE NAME OF THE CURRENT OR MOST RECENT EMPLOYER OF YOUR SPOUSE OR ANY OTHER PERSON WITH WHOM YOU HAVE A SIGNIFICANT PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP OR, IF A STUDENT, HIS HER SCHOOL:

			Case number		
0		CIFIC JOB DUTIES AND RESPO VE A SIGNIFICANT PERSONAL		OUSE OR ANY PERSON	
1	OR A RELATIVE ARE	SE, A PERSON WITH WHOM YO CURRENTLY WORKING OR HA OSITION, AND THE PERSON'S	VE EVER WORKED IN LA		
2	IF YOU HAVE CHILDR WITH YOU): SEX AGE	EN, PLEASE LIST (INCLUDING DOES CHILD LIVE WITH YOU?	ANY CHILDREN WHO DO EDUCATION	NOT CURRENTLY LIVE OCCUPATION	
3		SE, OR A PERSON WITH WHONEVER SERVED IN THE MILITARE OF SERVICE:			
ļ	IF YOU, YOUR SPOUSE, OR A PERSON WITH WHOM YOU HAVE A SIGNIFICANT PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP EVER HAD INVOLVEMENT WITH THE MILITARY POLICE OR THE MILITARY JUSTICE SYSTEM, PLEASE DESCRIBE:				

	DO YOU KNOW ANYONE ON THIS JURY PANEL? YES NO		
	IF YOU PERSONALLY KNOW ANY JUDGES OR ATTORNEYS OR COURT PERSONNEL, WHAT ARE THE NAMES AND RELATIONSHIPS TO YOU?		
	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SERVED ON A CRIMINAL OR CIVIL TRIAL JURY?		
	YES NO		
	ON HOW MANY CASES DID YOU SERVE?		
	APPROXIMATE YEAR(S)?		
	WHERE DID YOU SERVE ON A JURY?		
	WHAT KINDS OF CASES DID YOU HEAR WHILE SERVING ON A JURY?		
	WAS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR JURY SERVICE THAT WOULD MAKE YOU QUESTION YOUR AI TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL IN THIS CASE? IF SO, PLEASE EXPLAIN:		
	HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A GRAND JURY PANEL? YES NO CRIMINAL OR CIVIL GRAND JURY?		
	APPROXIMATE YEAR(S)?		
	WHERE DID YOU SERVE ON A GRAND JURY?		
	HOW LONG DID YOU SERVE ON A GRAND JURY?		
	WHAT KIND OF MATTER DID YOU HEAR WHILE SERVING ON A GRAND JURY?		
	WAS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR JURY SERVICE THAT WOULD MAKE YOU QUESTION YOUR AND TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL IN THIS CASE? IF SO, PLEASE EXPLAIN:		

	Juror ID number				
	Case number				
1.31	HAVE YOU, YOUR SPOUSE, ANY PERSON WITH WHOM YOU HAVE A SIGNIFICANT PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP, OR A RELATIVE EVER BEEN A VICTIM OF A CRIME?				
	YES NO				
	IF YES, WHO?				
	WHAT CRIME(S)?				
	WHEN?				
	WHAT HAPPENED?				
	WAS ANYONE ARRESTED? YES NO WAS THERE A TRIAL? YES NO				
	IF YES, DID YOU ATTEND THE TRIAL? YES NO				
	DID THE PERSON WHO IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS QUESTION TESTIFY? YES NO				
	DID THE POLICE INTERVIEW THE PERSON WHO IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS QUESTION?				
	YES NO				
	DID ANYONE WORKING FOR THE DEFENDANT INTERVIEW THE PERSON WHO IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS QUESTION?				
	YES NO				
	AS A RESULT OF THAT EXPERIENCE IS THERE ANYTHING THAT WOULD MAKE YOU QUESTION YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL IN THIS CASE? IF SO, PLEASE EXPLAIN:				
1.32	HAVE YOU, YOUR SPOUSE, ANY PERSON WITH WHOM YOU HAVE A SIGNIFICANT PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP, OR A RELATIVE EVER BEEN A WITNESS TO A CRIME?				
	YES NO				
	IF YES, WHO?				
	WHAT CRIME(S)?				
	WHEN?				
_	WHAT HAPPENED?				
-	WAS ANYONE ARRESTED? YES NO				
	WAS ANYONE ARRESTED? LIST NO WAS THERE A TRIAL? YES NO				
	IF YES, DID YOU ATTEND THE TRIAL? YES NO				
	DID THE PERSON WHO IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS QUESTION TESTIFY? YES NO				

	Case number
DID THE POLICE INTERVIEW YES NO	THE PERSON WHO IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS QUESTION?
DID ANYONE WORKING FOR THIS QUESTION?	THE DEFENDANT INTERVIEW THE PERSON WHO IS THE SUBJECT O
YES NO	
	ERIENCE IS THERE ANYTHING THAT WOULD MAKE YOU QUESTION ND IMPARTIAL IN THIS CASE? IF SO, PLEASE EXPLAIN:
RELATIONSHIP, OR A RELAT BUT NOT LIMITED TO, BEING WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS A	ANY PERSON WITH WHOM YOU HAVE A SIGNIFICANT PERSONAL FIVE EVER HAD ANY CONTACT WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT, INCLUDING (A) STOPPED BY THE POLICE? (B) ACCUSED OF MISCONDUCT, A CRIME? (C) INVESTIGATED AS A SUSPECT IN A CRIMINAL CASE? (D) E) A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT?
IF YES, WHO?	
WHAT CRIME(S)?	
WHEN?	
WAS ANYONE ARRESTED?	YES NO
WAS THERE A TRIAL?	YES NO
IF YES, DID YOU ATTEND TH	E TRIAL? YES NO
DID THE PERSON WHO IS TH	HE SUBJECT OF THIS QUESTION TESTIFY? YES N
DID THE POLICE INTERVIEW	THE PERSON WHO IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS QUESTION?
YES NO	
DID ANYONE WORKING FOR THIS QUESTION?	THE DEFENDANT INTERVIEW THE PERSON WHO IS THE SUBJECT O
YES NO	
	ERIENCE IS THERE ANYTHING THAT WOULD MAKE YOU QUESTION NO IMPARTIAL IN THIS CASE? IF SO, PLEASE EXPLAIN:

	Juror ID number				
	Case number				
1.34	HAVE YOU EVER BEEN TO COURT FOR ANY OTHER REASON? EXPLAIN:				
1.35	THE FOLLOWING IS A PRINCIPLE OF LAW THAT APPLIES TO ALL CRIMINAL CASES:				
	A defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent. This presumption requires that the People prove each element of a crime [and special allegation] beyond a reasonable doubt. Whenever the judge tells you the People must prove something, the judge means they must prove it beyond a reasonable doubt [unless the judge specifically tells you otherwise].				
	Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof that leaves you with an abiding conviction that the charge is true. The evidence need not eliminate all possible doubt because everything in life is open to some possible or imaginary doubt.				
	In deciding whether the People have proved their case beyond a reasonable doubt, you must impartially compare and consider all the evidence that was received throughout the entire trial. Unless the evidence proves the defendant[s] guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, (he/she/they) (is/are) entitled to an acquittal and you must find (him/her/they) not guilty. (CALCRIM No. 130)				
	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THIS PRINCIPLE OF LAW?				
	YES NO				
	DO YOU AGREE WITH THIS PRINCIPLE OF LAW?				
	YES NO				
	WILL YOU FOLLOW THIS PRINCIPLE OF LAW?				
	YES NO				
	IF YOU ANSWERED NO TO ANY QUESTION, PLEASE EXPLAIN:				
1.36	IN GENERAL, WHAT ARE YOUR OPINIONS, IF ANY, ABOUT LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS?				
1.37	HAVE YOU, YOUR SPOUSE, ANY PERSON WITH WHOM YOU HAVE A SIGNIFICANT PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP, OR A RELATIVE EVER HAD A PARTICULARLY PLEASANT OR UNPLEASANT EXPERIENCE WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT OR THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?				
	YES NO				
	IF YES, PLEASE EXPLAIN:				

	Juror ID number
	Case number
1.38	WOULD THE FACT THAT A WITNESS IS A MEMBER OF LAW ENFORCEMENT CAUSE YOU TO AUTOMATICALLY BELIEVE OR DISBELIEVE HIS OR HER TESTIMONY?
	YES NO
	IF YES, PLEASE EXPLAIN:

Juror ID number	
Case number	

Capital Case Supplement

By asking the following questions regarding your feelings or opinions about capital punishment, the court is not suggesting in any way that you will ever need to decide this question. The court does not know in advance what the evidence in this case will be or whether you will find a defendant guilty or not guilty of any charge at all. The court is asking the following questions because *if* a defendant is found guilty of murder in the first degree as well as what we call "special circumstances" that have been charged, the possible sentences to be decided in a separate penalty trial are the sentence of death or the sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. A defendant may also be acquitted or found guilty of lesser charges, which means there never will be a penalty trial. Since we do not know in advance what your decisions may be, the court must know whether you could be fair to all sides on the issue of punishment if and only if a penalty trial is necessary.

To clarify, you will only make a sentence decision between life without the possibility of parole and death in a separate penalty trial if you find a defendant guilty of murder in the first degree beyond a reasonable doubt and you find a "special circumstance" (to be defined for you later) true.

If the penalty trial is necessary you will first hear evidence and arguments from counsel. The law also provides very specific guidelines as to what a jury can consider in deciding the sentence in this separate penalty trial. These guidelines are called "aggravating factors" and "mitigating factors" and are explained in *Judicial Council of California Criminal Jury Instructions* number 763:*

In reaching your decision, you must consider and weigh the aggravating and mitigating circumstances or factors shown by the evidence.

An aggravating circumstance or factor is any fact, condition, or event relating to the commission of a crime, above and beyond the elements of the crime itself, that increases the wrongfulness of the defendant's conduct, the enormity of the offense, or the harmful impact of the crime. An aggravating circumstance may support a decision to impose the death penalty.

A mitigating circumstance or factor is any fact, condition, or event that makes the death penalty less appropriate as a punishment, even though it does not legally justify or excuse the crime. A mitigating circumstance is something that reduces the defendant's blameworthiness or otherwise supports a less severe punishment. A mitigating circumstance may support a decision not to impose the death penalty.

* Note to users: California Jury Instructions, Criminal (CALJIC) and Judicial Council of California Criminal Jury Instructions (CALCRIM) are not intended to be used together. While the legal principles are obviously the same, the organization of concepts is approached differently. Trying to mix the two sets of instructions into a unified whole may result in omissions or confusion that could compromise clarity and accuracy. For more information concerning the use of CALCRIM and CALJIC together, check the CALCRIM user forum at the following address:

http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/reference/crim_jury_instructions/forum.htm.

	Case number		
WHICH DO YOU THINK IS THE MORE SEVERE PUNISHMENT?			
THE DEATH PENALTY (WHY?	OR LIFE IN PRISON WITHOUT PAROLE		
WHICH WOULD YOU SAY ACCU!	RATELY STATES YOUR GENERAL BELIEF REGARDING THE DEAT		
STRONGLY IN FAVOR	STRONGLY OPPOSED		
MODERATELY IN FAVOR	MODERATELY OPPOSED		
	NEUTRAL		
PLEASE EXPLAIN IN MORE DET	AIL YOUR BELIEFS ABOUT THE SENTENCE OF DEATH:		
WHICH WOULD YOU SAY ACCURATELY STATES YOUR GENERAL BELIEF REGARDING LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE?			
STRONGLY IN FAVOR	STRONGLY OPPOSED		
MODERATELY IN FAVOR	MODERATELY OPPOSED NEUTRAL		
	NEOTRAL		
PLEASE EXPLAIN IN MORE DET WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF	AIL YOUR BELIEFS ABOUT THE SENTENCE OF LIFE IN PRISON PAROLE:		
WHAT PURPOSES, IF ANY, DO YOU BELIEVE THAT LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBIL PAROLE SERVES?			

	Case number
WHAT PURPO	SES, IF ANY, DO YOU BELIEVE THE DEATH PENALTY SERVES?
DO YOU BELIE	EVE THE DEATH PENALTY IS IMPOSED:
TOO OF	TEN ENOUGH
NOT EN	
	DO NOT KNOW
	IEWS ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY CHANGED SUBSTANTIALLY IN EITHER INTE N THE LAST FEW YEARS?
YES	□ NO
IF YES, HOW F	HAVE YOUR VIEWS ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY CHANGED?
DECISION IN T	ASIDE ANY OPINIONS YOU MAY HAVE ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY, AND MAKE THIS CASE BASED ON THE EVIDENCE AND THE LAW AS IT IS GIVEN BY THE JUD
DECISION IN T	THIS CASE BASED ON THE EVIDENCE AND THE LAW AS IT IS GIVEN BY THE JUD
DECISION IN T	THIS CASE BASED ON THE EVIDENCE AND THE LAW AS IT IS GIVEN BY THE JUD
DECISION IN T	THIS CASE BASED ON THE EVIDENCE AND THE LAW AS IT IS GIVEN BY THE JUD
DECISION IN T YES IF NO, WHY NO DO YOU HAVE DETERMINATION	THIS CASE BASED ON THE EVIDENCE AND THE LAW AS IT IS GIVEN BY THE JUD
DECISION IN T YES IF NO, WHY NO DO YOU HAVE DETERMINATION PRISON WITHOUTH	THIS CASE BASED ON THE EVIDENCE AND THE LAW AS IT IS GIVEN BY THE JUDION NO OT? E ANY RELIGIOUS OR PERSONAL BELIEFS THAT MAY INFLUENCE YOU IN YOUR ON OF WHETHER TO VOTE TO IMPOSE EITHER THE PENALTY OF DEATH OR LIFOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE? NO
DECISION IN T YES IF NO, WHY NO DO YOU HAVE DETERMINATION WITHOUTH	THIS CASE BASED ON THE EVIDENCE AND THE LAW AS IT IS GIVEN BY THE JUDION NO OT? E ANY RELIGIOUS OR PERSONAL BELIEFS THAT MAY INFLUENCE YOU IN YOUR ON OF WHETHER TO VOTE TO IMPOSE EITHER THE PENALTY OF DEATH OR LIFOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE? NO
DECISION IN T YES IF NO, WHY NO DO YOU HAVE DETERMINATION PRISON WITHOUTH	THIS CASE BASED ON THE EVIDENCE AND THE LAW AS IT IS GIVEN BY THE JUDION NO OT? E ANY RELIGIOUS OR PERSONAL BELIEFS THAT MAY INFLUENCE YOU IN YOUR ON OF WHETHER TO VOTE TO IMPOSE EITHER THE PENALTY OF DEATH OR LIFOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE? NO

Juror ID number

	Juror ID number
	Case number
	ARE YOU A MEMBER OF, OR HAVE YOU CONTRIBUTED TO OR OTHERWISE SUPPORTED A CHURCH, RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATION, POLITICAL OR SOCIAL ADVOCACY GROUP, OR ANY OTHER ORGANIZATION THAT TAKES A POSITION FOR OR AGAINST THE IMPOSITION OF THE PENALTY OF DEATH?
	YES NO
	a. IF YES, WHAT GROUP(S)?
•	
	b. WHAT IS THE GROUP'S POSITION?
	c. DO YOU FEEL OBLIGATED TO ACCEPT THIS POSITION?
	IF YES, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY:
	EVEN IF YOU BELIEVED THE PROSECUTOR HAD PROVED GUILT BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT,
	WOULD YOU, BECAUSE OF ANY VIEW S YOU MAY HAVE REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY, REFUSE
	WOULD YOU, BECAUSE OF ANY VIEW S YOU MAY HAVE REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY, REFUSE TO FIND THE DEFENDANT(S) GUILTY OR FIND A SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE TO BE TRUE IN ORDER TO
	WOULD YOU, BECAUSE OF ANY VIEW S YOU MAY HAVE REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY, REFUSITO FIND THE DEFENDANT(S) GUILTY OR FIND A SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE TO BE TRUE IN ORDER TO AVOID HAVING TO DECIDE WHETHER TO IMPOSE THE DEATH PENALTY?
	WOULD YOU, BECAUSE OF ANY VIEW S YOU MAY HAVE REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY, REFUSE TO FIND THE DEFENDANT(S) GUILTY OR FIND A SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE TO BE TRUE IN ORDER TO AVOID HAVING TO DECIDE WHETHER TO IMPOSE THE DEATH PENALTY? YES NO IF YOU FIND THE DEFENDANT(S) GUILTY OF THE CRIME, WOULD YOU AUTOMATICALLY IN ALL CASES VOTE FOR A SENTENCE OF LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE REGARDLESS OF
	WOULD YOU, BECAUSE OF ANY VIEW S YOU MAY HAVE REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY, REFUSE TO FIND THE DEFENDANT(S) GUILTY OR FIND A SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE TO BE TRUE IN ORDER TO AVOID HAVING TO DECIDE WHETHER TO IMPOSE THE DEATH PENALTY? YES NO IF YOU FIND THE DEFENDANT(S) GUILTY OF THE CRIME, WOULD YOU AUTOMATICALLY IN ALL CASES VOTE FOR A SENTENCE OF LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE REGARDLESS OF THE EVIDENCE CONCERNING AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING FACTORS?

Juror ID number	
Case number	

Verification

I,, DECLARE	UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER			
THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT THE FOR	REGOING RESPONSES I HAVE GIVEN			
ON THIS JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE, AND ON ANY ATTACHED SHEETS, ARE TRUE AND CORRECT				
TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.				
•				
(DATE and PLACE)	(SIGNATURE)			